

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/664,670	09/18/2003	R. Keith Frank	61567B	4098
109	7590 08/06/2004		EXAMINER	
THE DOW CHEMICAL COMPANY INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY SECTION			JONES, DAMERON LEVEST	
P. O. BOX 1967 MIDLAND, MI 48641-1967			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1616	
			DATE MAILED: 08/06/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	[A I' 4/2 \					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/664,670	FRANK ET AL.					
omoo oan	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAII INC DATE of this communication and	D. L. Jones	1616					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 September 2003.							
	action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-11</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers	•						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date.							
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)							
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/18/03</u> .	6)						

Art Unit: 1616

APPLICANT'S INVENTION

1. Applicant's invention is directed to an actinium-225 complex having Formula I as set forth in independent claim 1.

Note: Claims 1-11 are pending.

DOUBLE PATENTING REJECTIONS

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 1616

3. Claims 1-11 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-9, 11, and 15-20 of copending Application No. 10/031,792. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the instant invention encompasses the invention of 10/031,792. The claims differ in that 10/031,792 cannot be hydrogen as in the instant invention (see the variable G). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to allow G to be L-C(X)(Y)-(CH2)n-CH(Q1)-(CH2)r because this is the variable definition for G in the instant invention.

This is a provisional obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

112 REJECTIONS

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112: The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1-11: The claims are ambiguous because independent claim 1, lines 20-33 disclose variables that are not present in Formula I. Thus, it is unclear whether a portion of the structure is missing or if the subject matter in lines 20-33 should be deleted. In addition, in claim 1, lines 53-61, it is unclear what specific groups are

Art Unit: 1616

encompassed by Applicant's phrases 'electrophilic or nucleophilic moiety', 'biological carrier', 'synthetic linker', 'precursor thereof', and 'Cyc represents a cyclic...which do not interfere with binding to a biological carrier'. Applicant is respectfully requested to clarify the claim in order that one may readily ascertain what is being claimed. Furthermore, since claims 1-11 depend on independent claim 1, those claims are also ambiguous.

Claim 5, lines 34-42 and claim 6, lines 35-43: The claims are ambiguous because it is unclear what specific groups are encompassed by Applicant's phrases 'electrophilic or nucleophilic moiety', 'biological carrier', 'synthetic linker', 'precursor thereof', and 'Cyc represents a cyclic...which do not interfere with binding to a biological carrier'. Applicant is respectfully requested to clarify the claim in order that one may readily ascertain what is being claimed.

ABSTRACT

6. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it is too long (the abstract submitted with the application is three pages long). Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

COMMENTS/NOTES

7. Applicant is respectfully requested to update the continuing date present in the first paragraph of the specification.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,670 Page 5

Art Unit: 1616

8. It should be noted that while not prior art has been cited against the instant invention, due to some ambiguity in the claims, one could not fully determine what is being claimed. Thus, once the claims have been clarified a more thorough search will be conducted.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to D. L. Jones whose telephone number is (571) 272-0617. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 6:45 a.m. - 3:15 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Kunz can be reached on (571) 272-0887. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1616